

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,233	07/02/2003	Thomas J. Aton	TI-28621A	4859
23494 7	7590 05/04/2004		EXAMINER	
TEXAS INST	TRUMENTS INCOR	PHAM, HOAI V		
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, IX	DALLAS, TX 75265		2814	
			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N .	Applicant(s)	•			
Office Action Summary		10/612,233	ATON, THOMAS	J.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Hoai V Pham	2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1) 🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 J</u>	uly 2 <u>003</u> .					
2a)□	<u> </u>	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.					
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			er.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>							
Attachmen	•						
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>0</u>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 10/612,233

Art Unit: 2814

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claims 10, 11, and 13-16 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Line 1, delete "method" insert --capacitor--.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 4, 5, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Vathulya et al. [U.S. Pat. 6,297,524] Applicant IDS.

With respect to claim 1, Vathulya et al. (figs. 2-4, cols. 2-4) discloses an on-chip capacitor, comprising:

first and second metal patterns (22 and 23) each in first and second levels;

wherein the first pattern of the first level is connected to the first pattern of the second level by conducting vias (32);

wherein the second pattern of the first level is connected to the second pattern of the second level by conducting vias; and

wherein the first pattern and the second pattern form contacts for a capacitor.

With respect to claim 4, Vathulya et al. (figs. 2-4, cols. 2-4) discloses that the vias extend from the first and second metal patterns and do not connect to metal patterns of any other level (see figs. 3 and 4).

With respect to claim 5, Vathulya et al. (figs. 2-4, cols. 2-4) discloses an integrated circuit structure, comprising:

a first layer having a first metallization pattern comprising at least two metal lines (22);

a second layer having a second metallization pattern comprising at least two metal lines (23); and second metal patterns (22 and 23) each in first and second levels; wherein the first and second metallization pattern are connected by conducting vias (32) to form a capacitance.

With respect to claim 8, Vathulya et al. (figs. 2-4, cols. 2-4) discloses that the vias extend from the first and second metal patterns and do not connect to metal patterns of any other level (see figs. 3 and 4).

Application/Control Number: 10/612,233

Art Unit: 2814

With respect to claim 9, Vathulya et al. (figs. 2-4, cols. 2-4) discloses an on-chip capacitor, comprising:

a first stack of metals (22);

a second stack of metal lines (23) laterally separated from the first stack by the first dielectric material (27) (see fig. 3);

wherein two or more of the metal lines of the first stack are vertically connected by conducting vias (32);

wherein two or more of the metal lines of the second stack are vertically connected by conducting vias (32); and

wherein the first stack and the second stack provide two terminals (A and B) of a capacitor.

#### Double Patenting

- 4. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).
- 5. A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.
- 6. Claims 3, 6, 10 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1, 4, 7 and 9-13 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,635,916. This is a double patenting rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,233 Page 5

Art Unit: 2814

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

- 8. A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).
- 9. Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).
- 10. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, and 11 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,635,916. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present application merely broadens the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,635,916.

### Conclusion

- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoai V Pham whose telephone number is 571-272-1715. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30A.M. 8:00P.M..
- 12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael M. Fahmy can be reached on 571-272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,233 Page 6

Art Unit: 2814

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hoai Pham

April 30, 2004

Vorylan